Multiple imputation: Isn't Rubin's estimator over-optimistic?

Jacek Wesołowski

Statistics Poland & Warsaw Univ. of Technology

4th Congress of Polish Statistics Warsaw, June 2-4, 2024

KORKARA KERKER DAGA

GmG [model](#page-10-0)

- [The Rubin-type family of estimators](#page-19-0)
- [Unbiased Rubin-type estimators](#page-30-0)

K ロ ▶ K @ ▶ K 할 ▶ K 할 ▶ | 할 | K 9 Q Q

GmG [model](#page-10-0)

[The Rubin-type family of estimators](#page-19-0)

[Unbiased Rubin-type estimators](#page-30-0)

K ロ ▶ K @ ▶ K 할 ▶ K 할 ▶ | 할 | K 9 Q Q

Imputation estimators

$$
\mathbf{X}=(X_1,\ldots,X_n)
$$
 - the sample:

- $\textbf{X}_R = (X_i, \, i \in R)$ observed part of \textbf{X}_i
- $\mathbf{X}_{R^c} = (X_i, \, i \in R^c)$ missing part of \mathbf{X} .

Missing values are replaced by imputed: X_i , $i \in R^c$, i.e. the im puted sample $\mathbf{X} = (X_1, \ldots, X_n)$ is defined through

$$
\widetilde{X}_i = \left\{ \begin{array}{ll} X_i, & \text{if } i \in R, \\ \widetilde{X}_i \text{ (imputed)}, & \text{if } i \in R^c. \end{array} \right.
$$

Let $\hat{\theta} := h(\mathbf{x})$ be an estimator of parameter θ . Its imputation version is

$$
\hat{\theta}_{\text{Imp}} = h(\widetilde{\mathbf{X}}).
$$

The imputation version of \bar{X} and S^2 :

$$
\bar{X}_{\text{Imp}} = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \widetilde{X}_{i}, \qquad S_{\text{Imp}}^{2} = \frac{1}{n-1} \sum_{i=1}^{n} (\widetilde{X}_{i} - \bar{X}_{\text{Imp}})^{2}.
$$

Multiple imputation (MI) estimators

Multiple imputation:

• imputed samples

$$
\widetilde{\mathbf{X}}^{(\ell)}=(X_i,\,i\in R,\,\widetilde{X}_i^{(\ell)},\,i\in R^c),\quad \ell=1,\ldots,m,
$$

• respective estimators

$$
\hat{\theta}_{\text{Imp}}^{(\ell)} = h\left(\widetilde{\boldsymbol{X}}^{(\ell)}\right), \quad \bar{X}_{\text{Imp}}^{(\ell)}, \quad (S_{\text{Imp}}^{(\ell)})^2, \quad \ell = 1, \ldots, m.
$$

MImp estimators

$$
\hat{\theta}_{\mathrm{MImp}} = \tfrac{1}{m}\sum_{\ell=1}^m \hat{\theta}_{\mathrm{Imp}}^{(\ell)}, \quad \bar{X}_{\mathrm{MImp}} = \tfrac{1}{m}\sum_{\ell=1}^m \bar{X}_{\mathrm{Imp}}^{(\ell)}.
$$

K ロ ▶ K @ ▶ K 할 ▶ K 할 ▶ 이 할 → 9 Q Q*

Rubin's estimator of the variance of X_{MImp}

The popular Rubin estimator of the variance of \bar{X}_{MImp} is

$$
\hat{\nu}_{\text{Rubin}}^2 = \bar{U}_m + \frac{m+1}{m} B_m,
$$

where

$$
\bar{U}_m = \frac{1}{mn} \sum_{\ell=1}^m (S_{\mathrm{Imp}}^{(\ell)})^2
$$

and

$$
B_m = \frac{1}{m-1} \sum_{\ell=1}^m (\bar{X}_{\text{Imp}}^{(\ell)} - \bar{X}_{\text{MImp}})^2.
$$

K ロ ▶ K @ ▶ K 할 ▶ K 할 ▶ 이 할 → 9 Q Q*

Over-optimistic...?

Let $(\mathbf{X}_R, \, \mathbf{X}_{R^c})$ be an iid sample with mean μ and variance σ^2 .

 $\mathsf{Hot}\text{-}\mathsf{deck}\text{-}\mathsf{imputation}\text{:}\ \widetilde{X}_{\!j}^{(\ell)} = X_{\mathcal{K}^{(\ell)}_j},\,j\in\mathsf{R}^c,\,\mathsf{where}\,\,\mathcal{K}^{(\ell)}_j$ *j* are iid uniform on $R, \, \ell=1,\ldots,m, \, j \in R^c,$

Then

$$
\bar{X}_{\text{MImp}} = f\bar{X}_R + \frac{1}{mn} \sum_{\ell=1}^m \sum_{j \in R^c} X_{K_j^{(\ell)}}
$$

is unbiased for μ and $\mathbb{V}\text{ar}(\bar{X}_{\text{MImp}}) = \frac{\sigma^2}{r} \left(1 + \frac{(1-f)(r-1)}{mn}\right)$

But

$$
\mathbb{B}(\nu_{\text{Rubin}}^2) = \mathbb{E}\, \nu_{\text{Rubin}}^2 - \mathbb{V}\text{ar}(\bar{X}_{\text{MImp}}) = -\,\tfrac{(1-f)[n(n-r+1)+2(r-1)]}{n(n-1)r} < \textbf{0}.
$$

Over-optimistic...?

Let (X_R, X_{R^c}) be a sample from $N(\mu, \sigma^2)$.

 H ot-deck imputation: $\widetilde{X}_{j}^{(\ell)} = \bar{X}_{R} + S_{R}Z_{j}^{(\ell)}$ $S^{(\ell)}_j$, where $\bar X_R$ and S^2_R are the sample (**X**_R) mean and variance and $Z_i^{(\ell)}$ $j^{(\epsilon)}$, $\ell = 1, \ldots, m$, $j \in R^c$, are iid N(0, 1) and are independent of $\mathbf{X}_R.$

Then

$$
\bar{X}_{\text{MImp}} = \bar{X}_B + \frac{1-f}{mn} S_B \sum_{\ell=1}^m \sum_{j \in R^c} Z_j^{(\ell)}
$$

is unbiased and $\mathbb{V}\text{ar}(\bar{X}_{\text{MImp}}) = \frac{\sigma^2}{r} \left(1 + \frac{(1-f)f}{m}\right).$

But

$$
\mathbb{B}(\nu_{\text{Rubin}}^2) = \mathbb{E} \nu_{\text{Rubin}}^2 - \mathbb{V}\text{ar}(\bar{X}_{\text{MImp}}) = -\frac{\sigma^2(1-f)[(n-1)(n-r)-1]}{n(n-1)r} < \mathbf{0}.
$$

KOD KOD KED KED E VOOR

Deficiencies of Rubin's estimator

In both cases:

$$
\frac{\mathbb{B}(\nu_{\text{Rubin}}^2)}{\mathbb{V}\text{ar}(\bar{X}_{\text{MImp}})} \xrightarrow{\eta \to \infty} -1.
$$

KOD KOD KED KED E VOOR

Deficiencies of Rubin's estimator

In both cases:

$$
\frac{\mathbb{B}(\nu_{\text{Rubin}}^2)}{\mathbb{V}\text{ar}(\bar{X}_{\text{MImp}})} \xrightarrow{\eta \to \infty} -1.
$$

Basic problems with $\hat{\nu}^2_{\text{Rubin}}$:

Typically it is

- **BIASED**
- **NON-ADMISSIBLE**

We will discuss these issues in a relatively simple Bayesian GmG-model: Gaussian variables with Gaussian mean.

KORK ERKER ADAM ADA

GmG [model](#page-10-0)

[The Rubin-type family of estimators](#page-19-0)

[Unbiased Rubin-type estimators](#page-30-0)

K ロ ▶ K @ ▶ K 할 ▶ K 할 ▶ 이 할 → 9 Q Q*

GmG Bayesian model:

Let $\mu\in\mathbb{R},\,\kappa,\sigma>0.$ Then the $\mathrm{GmG}(\mu,\sigma^2,\kappa)$ Bayesian model is defined through:

$$
\mathbf{X}|M \sim \left(\mathrm{N}(M,\sigma^2)\right)^{\otimes n},
$$

$$
M \sim \mathrm{N}(\mu, \kappa \sigma^2).
$$

Then

$$
\mathbf{X}_{R^c}|\mathbf{X}_R \sim \mathcal{N}\left(\frac{r\kappa\bar{X}_R+\mu}{r\kappa+1}, \ \sigma^2(\mathbb{I}_{R_c}+\frac{\kappa}{r\kappa+1}\mathbf{1}_{R_c}\mathbf{1}_{R_c}^T)\right). \tag{1}
$$

Non-informative prior: for $\kappa = \infty$. Take $\kappa \to \infty$ in [\(1\)](#page-11-0):

$$
\mathbf{X}_{R^c}|\mathbf{X}_R \sim \mathcal{N}\left(\bar{X}_R, \ \sigma^2(\mathbb{I}_{R_c} + \frac{1}{r}\mathbf{1}_{R_c}\mathbf{1}_{R_c}^T)\right). \tag{2}
$$

KORKARA KERKER DAGA

Representation

Consequently, **X***R^c* has the representation

$$
\mathbf{X}_{R^c} = \frac{\kappa r \bar{X}_{R} + \mu}{\kappa r + 1} \mathbf{1}_{R^c} + \sigma \left(\mathbf{Z} + \sqrt{\frac{\kappa}{\kappa r + 1}} V \mathbf{1}_{R^c} \right), \tag{3}
$$

K ロ ▶ K @ ▶ K 할 ▶ K 할 ▶ | 할 | K 9 Q Q

where $r = \#(R)$, $\textbf{Z} = (Z_i, \, i \in R^c)$ has iid N $(0,1)$ components, $V \sim N(0, 1)$, \bullet (**Z**, *V*, **X**_{*R*}) independent.

Assume σ^2 unknown. How to impute?

Approximate

$$
\sigma^2 \approx S_R^2 = \tfrac{1}{r-1} \sum_{k \in R} (X_k - \bar{X}_R)^2.
$$

Impute missing *X*'s by

$$
\widetilde{\mathbf{X}}_{R^c}^{(\ell)} = \frac{\kappa r \bar{X}_{R} + \mu}{\kappa r + 1} \mathbf{1}_{R^c} + S_R \left(\mathbf{Z}^{(\ell)} + \sqrt{\frac{\kappa}{\kappa r + 1}} V^{(\ell)} \mathbf{1}_{R^c} \right),
$$

 $\ell = 1, ..., m.$

Here, $(\mathbf{Z}^{(\ell)},\;V^{(\ell)}),\,\ell=1,\ldots,$ are independent copies of $(\mathbf{Z},\mathcal{V}).$ In particular, $(\mathbf{Z}^{(\ell)},\; V^{(\ell)})_{\ell=1,...,m}$ and \mathbf{X}_R are independent.

The case of non-informative prior

The case of non-informative prior is by taking $\kappa \to \infty$ in [\(3\)](#page-12-0).

Then

$$
\mathbf{X}_{R^c} = \bar{X}_R \mathbf{1}_{R^c} + \sigma \left(\mathbf{Z} + \frac{1}{\sqrt{r}} V \mathbf{1}_{R^c} \right),
$$

and thus impute missing *X*'s by

$$
\widetilde{\mathbf{X}}_{R^c}^{(\ell)} = \bar{X}_R \mathbf{1}_{R^c} + S_R \left(\mathbf{Z}^{(\ell)} + \frac{1}{\sqrt{r}} V^{(\ell)} \mathbf{1}_{R^c} \right),
$$

K ロ ▶ K @ ▶ K 할 ▶ K 할 ▶ | 할 | K 9 Q Q

 $\ell = 1, \ldots, m$.

Theorem

Consider the $GmG(\mu, \sigma^2, \kappa)$ *model. The multiple imputation estimator of M has the form*

$$
\bar{X}_{MImp} = f \frac{\kappa n + 1}{\kappa r + 1} \bar{X}_R + (1 - f) \left(\frac{\mu}{\kappa r + 1} + S_R \underline{W} \right), \tag{4}
$$

where
$$
f = \frac{r}{n}
$$
 and $\underline{W} = \frac{1}{m} \sum_{\ell=1}^{m} \overline{W}^{(\ell)}$ with

$$
\bar{W}^{(\ell)} = \frac{1}{n-r} \sum_{i \in R^c} Z_i^{(\ell)} + \sqrt{\frac{\kappa}{\kappa r + 1}} V^{(\ell)}.
$$

 \bar{X}_{MImp} *is ubiased for M, i.e.* $\mathbb{E} \bar{X}_{\text{MImp}} = \mathbb{E} M$ *, and*

$$
\text{MSE }\bar{X}_{\text{MImp}} = \mathbb{E} \left(\bar{X}_{\text{MImp}} - M \right)^2 = \left(\frac{n\kappa + f}{n\kappa + 1} + \frac{1 - f}{m} \right) \frac{\tau^2 \sigma^2}{r}, \quad (5)
$$

where $\tau^2 = \frac{n\kappa+1}{r\kappa+1}$ $\frac{n\kappa+1}{r\kappa+1}$ f.

Theorem (cont.)

Statistics B_m *and* \bar{U}_m *assume the form:*

$$
B_m = (1 - f)^2 S_R^2 S_W^2,
$$

\n
$$
\bar{U}_m = \frac{1}{n(n-1)} \left\{ S_R^2 \left[r - 1 + (n - r - 1) \bar{S}_Z^2 \right] + r(1 - f) \left(\frac{\bar{X}_R - \mu}{r\kappa + 1} - S_R \underline{W} \right)^2 + \frac{r}{1 - f} \frac{m - 1}{m} B_m \right\},
$$

where

$$
\bar{S}_Z^2 = \frac{1}{m} \sum_{\ell=1}^m S_{Z^{(\ell)}}^2 \quad \text{and} \quad S_{\bar{W}}^2 = \frac{1}{m-1} \sum_{\ell=1}^m \left(\bar{W}^{(\ell)} - \underline{W} \right)^2.
$$

Moreover,

$$
\mathbb{E}\,\bar{U}_m=\tfrac{\sigma^2}{n},\quad\text{and}\quad\mathbb{E}\,B_m=(1-f)\tfrac{\tau^2\sigma^2}{r}.
$$

K ロ ▶ K @ ▶ K 할 > K 할 > 1 할 > 1 9 Q Q *

Theorem (cont.)

 R ubin's estimator ν_{Rubin}^2 of $\text{MSE}(\bar{X}_{\text{MImp}})$ is biased with the bias

$$
\mathbb{B}\,\nu_{\text{Rubin}}^2=\mathbb{E}\,\nu_{\text{Rub}}^2-\text{MSE}(\bar{X}_{\text{MImp}})=\tfrac{2(1-f)}{\kappa\,n+1}\,\tfrac{\tau^2\sigma^2}{r}.
$$

The relative bias of Rubin's estimator has the form

$$
\frac{\mathbb{B}\nu_{\text{Rubin}}^2}{\text{MSE}(X_{\text{MImp}})} = \frac{2(1-f)}{\kappa n + f + \frac{1}{m}(1-f)(\kappa n + 1)} < \frac{2(1-f)}{\kappa n + f}.\tag{6}
$$

K ロ ▶ K @ ▶ K 할 ▶ K 할 ▶ 이 할 → 9 Q Q*

Theorem (cont.)

For non-informative prior, i.e. when $\kappa \to \infty$ *:*

• MSE(
$$
\bar{X}_{MImp}
$$
) = $\mathbb{E} (\bar{X}_{MImp} - M)^2 = \frac{\sigma^2}{r} (1 + \frac{1 - f}{m});$

Rubin's estimator, ν_{Rubin}^2 *, is unbiased for* $\text{MSE}(\bar{X}_{\text{MImp}})$.

K ロ ▶ K @ ▶ K 할 ▶ K 할 ▶ | 할 | K 9 Q Q

The Rubin-type family

Recall

$$
\bar{U}_m = \frac{1}{mn} \sum_{\ell=1}^m (S_{\text{Imp}}^{(\ell)})^2
$$
 and $B_m = \frac{1}{m-1} \sum_{\ell=1}^m (\bar{X}_{\text{Imp}}^{(\ell)} - \bar{X}_{\text{MImp}})^2$.

We introduce the Rubin-type family of estimators of the $MSE(\bar{X}_{MImp})$:

$$
\mathfrak{R}=\left\{\nu^2(\alpha,\beta)=\alpha\bar{U}_m+\beta B_m,\quad \alpha,\beta\in\mathbb{R}\right\}.
$$

Examples

• Rubin's estimator:

$$
\nu^2_{Rubin}=\nu^2_{1,\frac{m+1}{m}}\in\mathfrak{R},
$$

i.e. it is Rubin-type with $\alpha = 1$ and $\beta = \frac{m+1}{m}$ $\frac{n+1}{m}$.

Bjørnstad's estimator:

$$
\nu^2_{\text{Bjørnstad}} = \nu^2_{1, \frac{m+1-f}{m(1-f)}} \in \mathfrak{R},
$$

KID K@ KKEX KEX E 1090

i.e. it is Rubin-type with $\alpha = 1$ and $\beta = \frac{m+1-i}{m(1-i)}$ *m*(1−*f*) $\stackrel{f\rightarrow 0}{\rightarrow} \frac{m+1}{m}$

Towards optimal weights

We search for the optimal estimator of the MSE of \bar{X}_{MImp} within the family $\mathcal R$, i.e. we serach for optimal weights (α, β) .

.
◆ ロ ▶ ◆ @ ▶ ◆ 경 ▶ → 경 ▶ │ 경 │ ◇ 9,9,0°

The result is elementary but the formulas are complicated.

Monster constants

We need to introduce three + four constants

$$
a = 1 + \frac{2n(1-f)}{(n-1)^2m} + \frac{2(1-\tau^2)(4-2n+(r-2-\frac{r+1}{m})(1+\tau^2))}{(r+1)(n-1)^2},
$$

\n
$$
b = \frac{\tau^4(1-f)^2}{f^2} \frac{m+1}{m-1},
$$

\n
$$
c = \frac{\tau^2(1-f)}{f} \left(1 + \frac{2\tau^2}{m(n-1)} + \frac{2(\tau^2-1)}{(r+1)(n-1)}\right)
$$

$$
A_1 = a \frac{m+1}{m-1} - \left(1 + \frac{2\tau^2}{m(n-1)} + \frac{2(\tau^2 - 1)}{(r+1)(n-1)}\right)^2,
$$

\n
$$
A_2 = \frac{1}{m-1} - \frac{\tau^2}{m(n-1)} + \frac{1-\tau^2}{(r+1)(n-1)},
$$

\n
$$
A_3 = \frac{\tau^2 - r}{m} + \left(1 - \tau^2\right) \left(\frac{n}{m} + \frac{3 - n + \left(r - 2 - \frac{r+1}{m}\right)(1 + \tau^2)}{r+1}\right),
$$

\n
$$
A_4 = \tau^2 + \frac{(1 - f)\tau^2}{m} - \left(1 - \tau^2\right)f.
$$

KID KARA KE KA E KO GO

Theorem

Let

$$
\alpha_* = \frac{2n(r-1)}{r(r+1)} \frac{A_2 A_4}{A_1} \quad \text{and} \quad \beta_* = \frac{2(r-1)}{(n-1)^2(r+1)(1-f)\tau^2} \frac{A_3 A_4}{A_1}.
$$

Then $\nu^2(\alpha_*,\beta_*)$ *has the smallest MSE among the estimators of the* MSE(\bar{X}_{MImp}) *in the Rubin-type family* \Re .

The optimal MSE is

$$
\begin{aligned} &\text{MSE}(\nu^2(\alpha_*, \beta_*)) \\ =& \tfrac{\sigma^4}{n^2} \left[\tfrac{(r+1)(\alpha_*^2 a + \beta_*^2 b + 2\alpha_*\beta_* c)}{r-1} - 2\alpha_* \tfrac{A_4}{f} - 2\beta_* \tfrac{(1-f)\tau^2 A_4}{f^2} + \tfrac{A_4^2}{f^2} \right]. \end{aligned}
$$

K ロ ▶ K @ ▶ K 할 ▶ K 할 ▶ | 할 | K 9 Q Q

Figure: The RMSE of the optimal $\nu^2(\alpha_*,\beta_*)$ estimator is smaller that the RMSE of Rubin's estimator. Here $m=5, \, n=100, \, \sigma^2=1, \, \mu=0$ and $\kappa = 1$. The empirical versions are computed from 100 repetitions.

Theorem (Non-informative prior)

Consider the case of $\kappa \to \infty$ *, i.e.* $\tau = 1$ *. Let*

$$
\alpha_{*,\infty} = \frac{nm-2m+1}{f(m-1)}K \quad \text{and} \quad \beta_{*,\infty} = -\frac{r-1}{(1-f)(n-1)}K, \qquad (7)
$$

where

$$
K = \frac{2(r-1)\left(1+\frac{1-f}{m}\right)}{m(n-1)(r+1)\left[\left(1+\frac{2(n-r)}{m(n-1)^2}\right)\left(1+\frac{2}{m-1}\right)-\left(1+\frac{2}{m(n-1)}\right)^2\right]}.
$$

Then $\nu^2(\alpha_{*,\infty}, \beta_{*,\infty})$ *is the optimal estimator of* $MSE(\bar{X}_{MImp})$ *in the family* R*. Its MSE is*

$$
\begin{split} &\text{MSE}\left(\nu^2(\alpha_{*,\infty},\beta_{*,\infty})\right) \\ &= \tfrac{\sigma^4}{h^2}\left\{ \tfrac{r+1}{r-1}\left[\alpha^2_{*,\infty}\left(1+\tfrac{2n(1-f)}{(n-1)^2m}\right)+\beta^2_{*,\infty}\tfrac{(1-f)^2}{f^2}\left(1+\tfrac{2}{m-1}\right)\right. \right. \\ &\left. +2\alpha_{*,\infty}\beta_{*,\infty}\tfrac{(1-f)}{f}\left(1+\tfrac{2}{m(n-1)}\right)\right] \\ &-\left[2\alpha_{*,\infty}f+2\beta_{*,\infty}(1-f)^2-\left(1+\tfrac{1-f}{m}\right)\right]\tfrac{1+\tfrac{1-f}{m}}{f^2}\right\}. \end{split}
$$

 Ω

Simplified quasi-optimal weights

Since

$$
\lim_{n \to \infty} \alpha_{*,\infty} = \frac{1 + \frac{1 - f}{m}}{f}
$$
 and
$$
\lim_{n \to \infty} n\beta_{*,\infty} = -\frac{(m - 1)f(1 + \frac{1 - f}{m})}{m(1 - f)}
$$

if the sample size *n* is large and number of imputations *m* is small one can use approximate values of $\alpha_{*,\infty}$ and $\beta_{*,\infty}$:

$$
\widetilde{\alpha}_{*,\infty} = \frac{1 + \frac{1 - f}{m}}{f} \quad \text{and} \quad \widetilde{\beta}_{*,\infty} = -\frac{(m - 1)f\left(1 + \frac{1 - f}{m}\right)}{nm(1 - f)}.
$$

Since

$$
\lim_{m \to \infty} \widetilde{\alpha}_{*,\infty} = \frac{1}{f} \quad \text{and} \quad \lim_{m \to \infty} n \widetilde{\beta}_{*,\infty} = -\frac{f}{1-f}
$$

if both *n* and *m* are large one can use approximate values of $\alpha_{*,\infty}$ and $\beta_{*,\infty}$:

$$
\alpha_{**} = \frac{1}{f} \qquad \text{and} \qquad \beta_{**} = -\frac{f}{n(1-f)}.
$$

Relative RMSE wrt optimal estimator: m=5

Figure: Simplified and approximate optimal estimators of the MSE perform better than Rubin's estimator. The computations were done for $m=5, \, n=500, \, \sigma^2=1, \, \kappa=\infty$ ($\tau^2=1$).

Figure: Simplified and approximate optimal estimators of the MSE still perform better than Rubin's estimator. The computations were done for $m=$ 100, $n=$ 500, $\sigma^2=$ 1, $\kappa=\infty$ ($\tau^2=$ 1).

[Multiple imputation and Rubin's estimator](#page-2-0)

GmG [model](#page-10-0)

[The Rubin-type family of estimators](#page-19-0)

[Unbiased Rubin-type estimators](#page-30-0)

K ロ ▶ K @ ▶ K 할 ▶ K 할 ▶ | 할 | K 9 Q Q

Unbiased Rubin-type estimators

Rubin's estimator is unbiased for $MSE(\bar{X}_{MImp})$, i.e.

$$
\nu^2_{\text{Rubin}}\in\mathfrak{R}_\text{U}=\{\nu^2_{\alpha,\beta}\in\mathfrak{R}:\;\;\mathbb{E}\,\nu^2_{\alpha,\beta}=\text{MSE}\,\bar{X}_{\text{MImp}}\}.
$$

As it is shown below, ν_{Rubin}^2 is non-admissible also in $\mathfrak{R}_\mathsf{u}.$

Theorem

Let

$$
\alpha_{*,\mu} = \frac{1}{f} \left(1 + \frac{1 - f}{m} \right) \frac{(m(n-2)+1)(n-1)}{m(n-1)^2 - (m-1)(n+r-2)} \tag{8}
$$

and

$$
\beta_{*,\mu}=-\tfrac{1}{1-f}\left(1+\tfrac{1-f}{m}\right)\tfrac{(r-1)(m-1)}{m(n-1)^2-(m-1)(n+r-2)}.\t\t(9)
$$

Then $\nu^2(\alpha_{*,u}, \beta_{*,u})$ *is optimal estimator of the MSE of the* \bar{X}_{MImp} *in the class* R*u.*

Simplified versions of $\nu^2(\alpha_{*,u},\,\beta_{*,u})$ for large *n* and small/large *m* are the same as for $\nu^2(\alpha_{*,\infty}, \beta_{*,\infty})$.

MSE of Rubin's estimator

Theorem

$$
MSE(\nu_{Rub}^2) = \frac{2\sigma^4}{r-1} \left(\left[\frac{1}{n} + \frac{(m+1)(1-f)}{mr} \right]^2 + A \right), \quad (10)
$$

K ロ ▶ K @ ▶ K 할 > K 할 > 1 할 > 9 Q Q*

where

$$
A=\tfrac{r+1}{m}\left[\frac{n-r}{n^2(n-1)^2}+\frac{(m+1)^2(1-f)^2}{m(m-1)r^2}+2\frac{(m+1)(1-f)}{mrn(n-1)}\right].
$$

Non-admissibility of Rubin's estimator

Now we will compare MSE of the optimal unbiased estimator $\nu^{\mathsf{2}}(\alpha_{*,\mathsf{u}},\beta_{*,\mathsf{u}})$ and the Rubin estimator for $m\to\infty$ in the case of non-informative priors.

Theorem

For any response rate f ∈ (0, 1) *and original sample size n*

$$
\lim_{m \to \infty} \text{MSE}(\nu^2(\alpha_{*,u}, \beta_{*,u})) = \frac{2\sigma^4}{r^2} \left[\frac{1}{r-1} - \frac{(r-1)f}{n^2-3n-r+3} \right].
$$
\n(11)

and

$$
\lim_{m \to \infty} \text{MSE}(\nu_{\text{Hub}}^2) = \frac{2\sigma^4}{r-1} \left(\frac{1}{n} + \frac{1-f}{r} \right)^2. \tag{12}
$$

KORK ERKER ADAM ADA

Consequently,

$$
\lim_{m \to \infty} \frac{\text{MSE}(\nu^2(\alpha_{*,u}, \beta_{*,u}))}{\text{MSE}(\nu_{\text{Hub}}^2)} = \frac{1}{r} \left[1 - \frac{(r-1)^2 f}{n^2 - 3n - r + 3} \right]. \tag{13}
$$

Figure: For non-informative prior Rubin's estimator is not optimal among unbiased estimators from the class \mathfrak{R} . The computations were done for $m=5, \, n=$ 100, $\sigma^2=$ 1, $\kappa=$ 1000 and 100 repetitions for the empirical standard deviation (SD).

Recommendation

To estimate the variance/MSE of \bar{X}_{MImp} , instead of Rubin's

estimator, use

$$
\nu^2 = \frac{1}{f}\overline{U}_m - \frac{f}{n(1-f)}B_m
$$

THANK YOU!!!

K ロ ▶ K @ ▶ K 할 ▶ K 할 ▶ 이 할 → 9 Q Q*

Recommendation

To estimate the variance/MSE of \bar{X}_{MImp} , instead of Rubin's

estimator, use

$$
\nu^2 = \frac{1}{f} \overline{U}_m - \frac{f}{n(1-f)} B_m
$$

THANK YOU!!!

K ロ ▶ K @ ▶ K 할 ▶ K 할 ▶ | 할 | K 9 Q Q